

16 January 2018

ICANN
Board Accountability Mechanisms Committee (BAMC)
12025 Waterfront Drive, Suite 300
Los Angeles, CA 90094-2536, USA

By email: reconsideration@icann.org

Dear Members of the BAMC,

Re: Consideration of Next Steps in the Community Priority Evaluation Process Review (Reconsideration Request 16-11)

We refer to our letter of 27 July 2017 in which we requested, on behalf of the Requesters in Reconsideration Request 16-11, more transparency about the community priority evaluation (CPE) process and the CPE process review.

Requesters appreciate that ICANN has now published the report of the CPE process reviewer. Requesters are currently analysing the report.

However, a first cursory review of the report already shows that Requesters' concerns about the lack of transparency remain unaddressed.

In addition to the lack of transparency in the CPE process and the CPE process review, Requesters are equally concerned about the methodology used by the CPE process reviewer, and about due process and policy violations, disparate treatment and inconsistencies that have not been considered.

We understand that, at its next meeting, the BAMC will consider next steps in the CPE process review. Requesters trust that the next steps the BAMC will consider shall address

Petillion

Requesters' concerns and that ICANN shall hear Requesters before proceeding further in this matter.

This letter is sent without prejudice and reserving all rights.

Yours sincerely,

Flip Petillion
Flip Petillion