IN THE MATTER OF AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW PROCESS BEFORE THE INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION

CASE NUMBER 01-15-0005-9838

Between:
Asia Green IT System Bilgisayar San. ve Tic. Ltd. Sti.,
CLAIMANT
and
Internet Corporation For Assigned Names And Numbers,
RESPONDENT
PROCEDURAL ORDER No. 1

Panelists:

Calvin Hamilton Hon. William J. Cahill (Ret.) Klaus Reichert SC A Preparatory Conference call was held on April 19, 2016 beginning Noon, EST, at which the Parties' legal counsel were present. After a discussion of various matters relating to the proceedings, the following were agreed and instructions issued:

1. Contact details

1.1 The Panelists:

Calvin A. Hamilton (Chair)
Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Law, University of the West Indies
Cave Hill Campus
Bridgetown BB 11000
Barbados
Contact Information Redacted

Klaus Reichert SC
Brick Court Chambers
7-8 Essex Street
London WC2R 3LD
England
Contact Information Redacted

Hon. William J. Cahill (Ret.)

JAMS

2 Embarcadero Ctr. 15th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111

Contact Information Redacted

1.2 International Center for Dispute Resolution (ICDR)

1.2.1 Mr. Thomas Simotas

ICDR Supervisor
120 Broadway,
21stFloor
New York, NY 10271
Contact Information Redacted

1.3 The Parties

1.3.1 Claimant:

Asia Green IT System Bilgisayar San. ve Tic. Ltd. Sti.

Represented by:

Mike Rodenbaugh, Esq.
Rodenbaugh Law
548 Market Street
Box 55819
San Francisco, CA 94104

email Contact Information Redacted

telephone and fax: Contact Information Redacted

1.3.2 Respondent:

Internet Corporation For Assigned Names And Numbers

Represented by:

telephone: +1.213.243.2489

Eric P. Enson, Esq. (epenson@jonesday.com Charlotte S. Wasserstein, Esq. (cswasserstein@jonesday.com) Jeffrey Le Vee, Esq. (jlevee@jonesday.com) Jones Day Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071

2. Procedural Calendar

	Date	Party	Description
	May 3, 2016 Claimant		Request for document production (description of the document, or set of documents, must be specific and
(a)			contain an explanation of relevance and materiality to outcome of the case). Additionally, and also with reference to the document

			production sought, the Claimant is to set out observations on the scope of the Panel's authority.
(b)	May 13, 2016	Respondent	Objection to Claimant's Request for document production and observations on the Panel's authority. If the Respondent wishes to seek document production, it is to file its Request for document production.
(c)	May 23, 2016	Claimant	Answer to Respondent's Objection for Document Production (insofar as necessary)
(d)	May 23, 2016	Claimant	Objection to Respondent's Request for Production (insofar as necessary)
(e)	June 2, 2016	Respondent	Answer to Claimant's Objection for Document Production (insofar as necessary)
(f)	June 2, 2016	Respondent	Term for Respondent's Document Production (insofar as agreed, or ordered).

			The Panel may order that documents be produced. Documents which are ordered to be produced by the Panel shall be delivered within 10 days from the date of the Order.
(9)	June 13, 2016	Claimant	Term for Claimant's Document Production (insofar necessary, as agreed or ordered). The Panel may order that documents be produced. Documents which are ordered to be produced by the Panel shall be delivered within 10 days from the date of the Order.
(h)	June 15, 2016	Parties & Panelist	Scheduled Conference Call
(i)	September 6, 2016	Parties & Panelist	Scheduled Hearing Date (if a Hearing is to take place)
(j)			
(k)			
(1)			

(m)		
(n)		
(0)		

Communications

- 3.1 All correspondence, notices and submissions will be conducted by electronic mail.
- 3.2 The Parties should not copy the Panelists in correspondence between them, including their correspondence regarding document production. The Parties should only copy the Panelists regarding those matters in compliance with the Procedural Calendar or where the assistance of the Panel is needed to resolve matters in dispute.
- 3.3 The copies of the documents subject of sections 2.1 (f), (g), should be sent to the Panelists by electronic mail and also on USB Drive containing the documents, by courier service within 3 days from the service of the documents by electronic mail. The Information on the USB should be in both Word and PDF format.
- 3.4 Any requests for extension of terms set in the Procedural Calendar, must be made as soon as the justification or reason giving rise to the request is discovered. The Panel has the discretion to grant or refuse any such requests.

4. Written Submissions

- 4.1 The Parties, in their respective written submissions, will support arguments and positions with documentary evidence, witnesses, including experts, or any other evidence as is deemed necessary.
- 4.2 The Parties are required to number the paragraphs in all written submissions. The Parties are also required to identify supporting documents to which the Parties refer, making reference to page and paragraph number. The documentary evidence should be presented separately and in chronological order throughout the proceedings.
- 4.3 The Claimants' numbered documents shall be identified by the letter "C", while the Respondents' numbered documents shall be identified by the letter "R"

- 4.4 The Parties are to provide a detailed list of documents referred to in their submissions. Each document should be identified by document number, description and date.
- 4.5 In all written submissions, whenever the Parties make reference to arguments of the opposing party, any such reference must identify the page and paragraph of the written document where the argument is to be found.

5. **Document Disclosure**

- 5.1 The Parties are to present their respective requests for documents by electronic mail within the term provided for in the Procedural Calendar and without providing a copy of said request to the Panelists.
- 5.2 In the event of any objections to requests for production of documents, the Parties are to present any such objections to the Panelists using the Redfern format within the term set in the Procedural Calendar. The answer to the objections will be presented to the Panelists in Redfern format within the term set out in the Procedural Calendar.
- 5.3 All documents which have been requested and which are <u>not</u> the subject of an objection, shall be delivered to the opposing party within the term set in the Procedural Calendar. All documents that the Panel has ordered produced shall be delivered within 10 days from the date of the Order.

6. <u>Conference Call</u>

- 6.1 According to the Procedural Calendar, the Parties and the Panelists will hold a conference call on June 15, 2016 to discuss those matters for the remainder of the proceedings, including matters relating to: whether or not there are to be witness and/or expert testimony, and whether or not there is to be a hearing, either in person or by telephone.
- 6.2 Each Party shall inform the Panel of any matter it wishes to include on the conference call agenda, within at the latest 5 days prior to the date of the conference call.

7. **Hearings**

7.1 The Parties and Panelists have agreed to reserve September 6, 2016 as the date for a Hearing, if necessary. The specific procedures for such a Hearing, if it is to be held, may be decided at the June 15th conference call, or later as the case may require.

8. Location of the Hearing

 $8.1\,$ The Hearing, if to be held in person, is scheduled to take place in in New York City on September 6, 2016.

9. Amendments

The Panelists reserve the right to amend this Procedural Order if deemed necessary. The Panelists will consult with the Parties before any such amendment.

This Chair of the Panel, by authorization of the co-Panelists and consent of the Parties, has signed this Procedural Order on behalf of the Panel.

May 10, 2016.

Calvin A. Hamilton

Chair