

April 3, 2014

Dr. Stephen Crocker Chairman, ICANN Board of Directors 12025 Waterfront Drive Suite 300 Los Angeles, CA 90094

Re: Position of Napa Valley Vintners regarding .WINE and .VIN new gTLD applications

Dear Dr. Crocker:

The Napa Valley Vintners is the non-profit trade association representing the interests of the Napa Valley wine industry. The crown jewel of American agriculture, the Napa Valley wine industry has an annual economic impact of \$50 billion on the U.S. economy. In 2013 the Napa Valley Vintners communicated to you our concerns on matters related to .WINE and .VIN as it relates to product of place such as ours.

The Napa Valley is a proud signatory of the Joint Declaration to Protect Wine Place & Origin. (<a href="www.protectplace.com">www.protectplace.com</a>)
This Declaration to Protect Wine Place & Origin is an alliance of quality wine growing regions from across the globe that seek to increase the protection of wine labels and the integrity of wine place names, which are fundamental tools for consumers to identify the special wines of great winegrowing regions. All wine regions that have signed the Declaration are committed to educating consumers about the importance of location and ensuring that wine growing place names are protected and easily identifiable is central to this effort. The importance of protecting winegrowing place names is critical to all winegrowing regions of quality; it is not solely a European issue.

## 1. The Napa Valley Vintners concerns:

On 22 March 2014, the ICANN New gTLD Program Committee ("NGPC") directed that the applications for .WINE and .VIN should proceed through the normal evaluation process.

On 27 March 2014, the ICANN's Governmental Advisory Committee ("GAC") noted at least one process violation and procedural error with respect to the Board's decision, including in relation to ByLaws Article XI-A, Section 1 subsection 6 which states:

"6. Opportunity to Comment. The Governmental Advisory Committee, in addition to the Supporting Organizations and other Advisory Committees, shall have an opportunity to comment upon any external advice received prior to any decision by the Board."

The GAC therefore advised that the Board reconsidered the matter more fully before delegating the .WINE and .VIN strings.

In addition, the GAC indicated that the concerned GAC members believe the applicants and interested parties should be encouraged to continue their negotiations with a view to reach an agreement on the matter.

In this context, we are writing to you to express our concern with regard to how the new .WINE and .VIN gTLDs may negatively impact our organisation's ability to protect against fraudulent use of Wine related Geographical

Indications ("GI"s) and the wine regions we represent. When it comes to wine, location matters. Whether we use the AVA system or the GI system, our wine growing places are unique and these terms are understood by consumers to denote the origin and the quality of products produced in a special geographical area. In Europe, GIs are generally defined as being "indications which identify a good as originating in the territory of a Member, or a region or locality in that territory, where a given quality, reputation or other characteristic of the good is essentially attributable to its geographical origin". GIs therefore guarantee that a product is from a certain region, and of a certain nature and quality. In the United States, AVAs perform a similar role in identifying for consumers where their wines come from.

Allowing the applications for .WINE and .VIN to proceed through the normal evaluation process without additional safeguards would seriously undermine the protection consumers worldwide expect and is granted to GIs by the TRIPS and Lisbon Agreements as well as by the relevant EU regulations. The protection of GIs, AVAs and wine growing region names also serves the public interest because of the particular risks of fraudulent misuse of these terms which the Signatories regularly witness. Internet users could indeed be deceived into believing that they are buying a genuine product with specific qualities and characteristics, when they are in fact getting an imitation.

## 2. The necessary measures to be taken:

We note that, to date, none of the .WINE and .VIN applicants has unequivocally included in its application appropriate safeguards for the protection of GIs, AVAs or wine growing place names.

We therefore respectfully call for ICANN and the GAC to either:

(a) Grant adequate protection to all wine growing regions including Gls. It is indeed absolutely necessary for the Signatories to ensure that, if the extensions are delegated, there are additional safeguards around the .WINE and .VIN domain names with protection for Gls and all wine growing place names at the second level.

or

(b) Withdraw the .WINE and .VIN extensions.

Alternatively, we would, a minima, respectfully call for ICANN and the GAC to grant more time to the applicants and interested parties in order to reach proper agreement before issuing a final decision on the delegation of the .WINE and .VIN strings.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Linda Reiff President & CEO

Cc: Congressman Mike Thompson
Suzanne Radell, GAC Representative
Larry Strickling, State Secretary, Department of Commerce

Article 22 of the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights - "TRIPS"