



The Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers

13 June 2014

Heather Dryden  
Chair, Governmental Advisory Committee

Re: NGPC Meeting of 6 June 2014

Dear Heather:

I am pleased to provide you with an update on actions taken by the ICANN Board New gTLD Program Committee (NGPC) to continue to address remaining open items of GAC advice. On 6 June 2014, the NGPC met and adopted another iteration of a scorecard to address the GAC's request in the Singapore Communiqué for clarification on a number of implementation issues related to WHOIS verification and checks, and enforcement of public interest commitments in the New gTLD Registry Agreement, among other things.

The scorecard, available [here](#),<sup>1</sup> includes Annex 2, which provides the NGPC's [responses](#)<sup>2</sup> to the questions posed by the GAC.

As I noted in my 2 June 2014 letter, there remain only a handful of GAC advice items to resolve:

1. IGO and IOC/RCRC acronym protections ([2013-11-20-IGO](#); [2014-03-27-IGO](#); [2013-07-18-Red Cross Acronyms](#));
2. Category 2 Safeguards – Exclusive Access for Generic Strings ([2013-04-11-Safeguards-Categories-2](#));
3. Protections for RCRC society names ([2014-03-27-RCRC](#)); and
4. .RAM/.INDIANS ([2014-03-27-ram-indians](#)).

The NGPC will continue to provide updates on its work to respond to the remaining open items of GAC advice from Beijing, Durban, Buenos Aires and Singapore. I look forward to seeing you in London.

Best regards,

Stephen D. Crocker, Chair  
ICANN Board of Directors

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/resolutions-new-gtld-annex-1-06jun14-en.pdf>

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/resolutions-new-gtld-annex-2-06jun14-en.pdf>